

Fitch Affirms UniCredit Bank S.A. at 'BBB+'; Outlook Negative

Fitch Ratings - Warsaw - 04 Dec 2025: Fitch Ratings has affirmed UniCredit Bank S.A.'s (UCBRO) Long-Term Issuer Default Rating (IDR) at 'BBB+' and Viability Rating (VR) at 'bb+'. The Outlook on the Long-Term IDR is Negative. A full list of rating actions is below.

Key Rating Drivers

Support Drives IDRs: UCBRO's IDRs and Shareholder Support Rating (SSR) are driven by a high likelihood of extraordinary support from UniCredit S.p.A. (A-/Stable), its parent. This is due to UCBRO's inclusion in its parent's single-point-of-entry resolution group and the considerable reputational risk for UniCredit from a default of this subsidiary. It also factors in the strategic importance of UCBRO to the parent and its small size, making any support small relative to the latter's ability to provide it. UniCredit's commitment to Romania is underlined by the acquisition of Alpha Bank Romania, strengthening its market position.

Country Ceiling Constraint: Romania's country risks, in particular, transfer and convertibility, may limit BRD's ability to use parental support to service its foreign-currency obligations. The Country Ceiling of 'BBB+', therefore, constrains our assessment of potential support and results in the lower Short-Term IDR of two options available for the Long-Term 'BBB+' IDR. The Negative Outlook on BRD's Long-Term IDR reflects that on the Romanian sovereign, given that we expect the Country Ceiling to move in tandem with the sovereign rating.

Gradually Improving Standalone Profile: UCBRO's VR reflects its enlarged post-merger franchise as the third-largest bank by assets, which should support the business model and earnings stability, with moderate synergies despite some integration costs in the short term. The VR also factors in the bank's moderate asset quality, strong capital ratios, a reasonable funding profile and healthy profitability.

Operating Environment Capped by Sovereign: The negative outlook on the 'bbb-' operating environment score for Romanian banks mirrors that on the sovereign rating and reflects the potential for a downward revision of the score if the sovereign rating is downgraded.

Prudent Underwriting, Above-Average Concentrations: We consider UCBRO's underwriting standards to be prudent and its risk controls adequate for the bank's complexity. The bank remains exposed to higher industry concentrations than larger peers due to its business mix being weighted towards corporate and investment banking.

Stable Asset Quality: We expect UCBRO's impaired loans ratio - which has declined to below 4% over

the past three years - to remain broadly stable over the next two years, supported by the integration of Alpha Bank Romania. We expect the impact on the bank's asset quality of a slowing economy in Romania and fiscal consolidation measures to remain largely contained, given the bank's moderate risk appetite and prudent problem-loan management.

Improved Profitability: UCBRO's structural profitability has improved due to stronger net interest margins, increased fee income and better efficiency. However, integration costs and only partial recognition of Alpha Bank Romania's profits in 2025 will temporarily reduce the operating profit/risk-weighted assets (RWAs) ratio in 2025. Synergies and cost savings, increasing volumes and still high interest rates should help the ratio improve to about 4% in 2026.

Adequate Capital Buffers: UCBRO's common equity Tier 1 (CET1) ratio (end-1H25: 21.2%) is solid for its rating and risk profile. Sound internal capital generation aids capitalisation and provides flexibility for growth. UniCredit's direct participation in the Alpha Bank Romania acquisition supported UCBRO's capital position.

Generally Stable Funding: UCBRO has a reasonably diversified funding mix, with a higher reliance on wholesale and intragroup funding than central and eastern European peers, reflecting its weaker - though expanding, organically and inorganically - retail franchise. The loans-to-deposits ratio is likely to remain above that of direct peers, and we expect it to be stable at around 95% over the next two years.

Rating Sensitivities

Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action/ Downgrade

UCBRO's IDRs and SSR could be downgraded if Romania's Country Ceiling is revised lower. The bank's IDR and SSR could be downgraded on an adverse change in the resolution strategy of the group with respect to UCBRO, if the subsidiary's strategic importance is reduced, or if UniCredit's Long-Term IDR is downgraded by at least two notches.

The VR could come under pressure from a sustained weakening of the bank's impaired loans ratio to above 5% without adequate mitigation measures, and from profitability deterioration leading to a decline of operating profit to below 1.25% of RWAs on a sustained basis. The bank's CET1 ratio falling materially below 16% without credible plans to swiftly restore it would also be credit negative.

Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action/Upgrade

A revision of the Outlook to Stable on UCBRO's IDR would require similar action on the Romanian sovereign rating.

An upgrade of UCBRO's IDRs and SSR would require an upward revision of Romania's Country Ceiling, while the bank remains strategically important to its parent.

A VR upgrade would require a reduction in operating environment risks (that could be manifested in the stabilisation of the outlook on the OE score), which could, in turn, reduce risks to UCBRO's business

profile and asset quality. An upgrade would also be contingent on the bank maintaining strong capital ratios with sizeable buffers above regulatory minimums and maintaining strong profitability, in line with Romania's largest peers.

VR ADJUSTMENTS

The capitalisation and leverage score of 'bbb-' is below the 'a' implied category score due to the following adjustment reason: business and risk profile (negative).

The funding and liquidity score of 'bb+' is below the 'bbb' implied category score due to the following adjustment reason: deposit structure (negative).

REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

Public Ratings with Credit Linkage to other ratings

UCBRO's IDRs are capped by Romania's Country Ceiling and, therefore, linked to the sovereign's Long-Term IDR. The bank's IDRs are driven by support from UniCredit S.p.A. and, therefore, linked to the latter's IDRs.

ESG Considerations

The highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3', unless otherwise disclosed in this section. A score of '3' means ESG issues are credit neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores are not inputs in the rating process; they are an observation on the relevance and materiality of ESG factors in the rating decision. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit https://www.fitchratings.com/topics/esg/products#esg-relevance-scores.

Fitch Ratings Analysts

Artur Szeski

Senior Director Primary Rating Analyst International +48 22 103 3015

Fitch Ratings Ireland Limited spolka z ograniczona odpowiedzialnoscia oddzial w Polsce Marszalkowska 107, 00-110 Warsaw

Monika Panasiuk

Associate Director Secondary Rating Analyst +48 22 103 3028

Huseyin Sevinc

Senior Director Committee Chairperson +44 20 3530 1027

Media Contacts

Matthew Pearson

London +44 20 3530 2682 matthew.pearson@thefitchgroup.com

Rating Actions

ENTITY/DEBT	RATING			RECOVERY	PRIOR
UniCredit Bank S.A.	LT IDR	BBB+ ●	Affirmed		BBB+ ●
	ST IDR	F2	Affirmed		F2
	Viability	bb+	Affirmed		bb+
	Shareholder Support	bbb+	Affirmed		bbb+

RATINGS KEY OUTLOOK WATCH

Applicable Criteria

Bank Rating Criteria (pub.21 Mar 2025) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

Additional Disclosures

Solicitation Status

Endorsement Status

UniCredit Bank S.A. EU Issued, UK Endorsed

DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers. Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link: https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings. In addition, the following https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. ESMA and the FCA are required to publish historical default rates in a central repository in accordance with Articles 11(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 and The Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 respectively.

Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third- party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete.

Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed. Fitch Ratings makes routine, commonly-accepted adjustments to reported financial data in accordance with the relevant criteria and/or industry standards to provide financial metric consistency for entities in the same sector or asset class.

The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Fitch also provides information on best-case rating upgrade scenarios and worst-case rating downgrade scenarios (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in each direction) for international credit ratings, based on historical performance. A simple average across asset classes presents best-case upgrades of 4 notches and worst-case downgrades of 8 notches at the 99th percentile. For more details on sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, please see Best- and Worst-Case Measures under the Rating Performance page on Fitch's website.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under

the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001. Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

dv01, a Fitch Solutions company, and an affiliate of Fitch Ratings, may from time to time serve as loan data agent on certain structured finance transactions rated by Fitch Ratings.

Copyright © 2025 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.

Endorsement policy

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's Regulatory Affairs page on Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.